1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON		
3	PORTLAND DIVISION		
4			
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
6	Plaintiff,	No. 3:18-cr-00319-J0-4	
7	vs.) February 14, 2019	
8	KENNETH EARL HAUSE,) Portland, Oregon	
9	Defendant.)		
10			
11			
12			
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
14	(Review of Detention)		
15			
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. JONES		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SENIOR JUDGE		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	Count Deposit on	the DMD CDD CCD/CCD	
23	United S	ite, RMR, CRR, CSR/CCR States District Courthouse	
25		3rd Avenue, Room 301 d, Oregon 97204	
۷ ک	(303) 32	20 0104	
II			

1		APPEARANCES
2		
3	For the Plaintiff:	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE By: STEVEN T. MYGRANT
4		steven.mygrant@usdoj.gov REBECCA A. STATON
5		rebecca.staton@usdoj.gov 1000 SW 3rd Avenue, Suite 600
6		Portland, Oregon 97204 (503) 727-1052
7		
8	For the Defendant:	TODD E. BOFFERDING Attorney at Law tbofferding@gorge.net
10		Post Office Box 539 Hood River, Oregon 97031
11		(541) 490-9012
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(February 14, 2019; 11:30 a.m.)

PROCEEDINGS

2.1

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. Have a seat.

MR. BOFFERDING: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Announce the case, please.

MR. MYGRANT: Good morning, Your Honor. Steve Mygrant on behalf of the government.

This is the United States versus Kenneth Hause. It's 3:18-cr-00319, Your Honor.

He's present. He's in the custody of the United States Marshals. He's accompanied by counsel, Mr. Bofferding.

Also with me at counsel table on behalf of the government is Rebecca Staton, a trial lawyer from the organized crime gang section back in Washington DC.

We are here for a detention hearing, Your Honor. The government has submitted written memorandum representing our request to detain the defendant pending trial.

We've also offered six exhibits which we have provided copies to defense counsel and forwarded to Your Honor prior to this hearing. I would ask for purposes of this hearing, that those exhibits be received.

We are prepared to proceed as soon as Your Honor is ready to hear from the government.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel?

MR. BOFFERDING: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

Your Honor, I have provided to the Court a ten-page memorandum justifying all the reasons I believe Mr. Hause is entitled to release. I also submitted letters from community members, Mr. Hause's medical records from the veterans administration, and along with a letter, a cover letter, with that.

What I'd like to talk about more than anything else right now is the history and characteristics of Mr. Hause. That is the most critical part of a detention hearing from the part of the defense. We have not received nor reviewed any discovery at all, so the only thing that I'm able to argue is why Mr. Hause should be released based on his personal history and characteristics.

Now, I'm not going to recite everything that I put in my memo. I'm just going to hit the main strong points, and the first one is dealing with character.

The people that I spoke with in Aumsville, not just his family, but other people, such as the chief of police of Aumsville, all said the same thing, basically that he's a kind man, they don't have problems with him, the Gypsy Jokers are not

running through his town. The chief of police, I'm talking about.

The chief of police has had many contacts with Mr. Hause through the years. At all times, he was peaceful. At no time was he causing trouble. He was, in all effect, an ideal citizen of Aumsville.

There are people ranging from waitresses to gas station attendants to lawyers. A lawyer, Paul Ferder, wrote a letter. He knows Mr. Hause very, very well. He would be here, but he's down south right now.

But what he did, he wrote a letter. I gave it to the Court. He told me to tell you that Mr. Hause is a man of honor. He's going to do what he says he's going to do. If he says he's going to be told to be released, go home, don't cause trouble, don't make threats, don't leave your home except when you have to for medical issues or to see me, that he will stay there. He will comply. He will not be a danger, he will not be a harm to anybody, Your Honor.

When you take a look at whether or not somebody is going to comply on pretrial services, you need to look whether or not the person has ever completed pretrial services supervision before, and he has 20 years ago.

Your Honor was provided a copy of a judgment order that showed that he was acquitted of distribution of drug charges 20 years ago. He was placed on pretrial services. He

successfully completed. He didn't have any violations. He showed up to all his court appearances. He showed up to his trial where, once again, he was acquitted.

If Your Honor tells him to do something, Mr. Hause will do it. He'll show up at all his court appearances, he'll show up to his trial, and, most importantly, he's not going to threaten anybody. That would cut against every interest for Mr. Hause. That would result in him being thrown back in jail, extra penalties if convicted. There is no reason for him to do that whatsoever.

The second issue I want to talk about is medical condition.

I provided you the medical records. And the medical records has -- it talks about what the conditions are in quite long words, medical words. But when you get right down to it, under layman's terms, he suffers from chronic congenital heart failure.

He had a heart attack years ago and he was given a pacemaker, and it's a condition that's going to kill him. It's just a matter of time, really. We're hoping for ten more years. We're hoping for medical advancements and things change and he's given a longer life. That could happen too. But right now we have to look at what's the focus.

THE COURT: He's how old now?

MR. BOFFERDING: He's 61. He turns 62 in May.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

2.1

MR. BOFFERDING: And his issue with his heart, he has an enlarged left ventricle which is caused by a disease that's progressive and it causes his left ventricle to enlarge and restricts the blood flow. He has a pacemaker which is -- as therapy that keeps his heart in rhythm.

But there are times when he has difficulties, where he has symptoms of heart failure itself. And in the medical records that I provided to Your Honor, in December,

December 7th, I believe, he went and he saw his doctor, and he complained of, I believe, dizziness, exhaustion. He just wasn't feeling good, feeling really rundown. And, Your Honor, that is symptomatic of heart failure.

Mr. Hause contacted me through his wife a couple of days ago. He said he was feeling numbness in his extremities and he was feeling rundown. He wasn't feeling very well.

What that means is that he's not able right now to fully comply with what the doctors are telling him. The doctors gave him a protocol in December when they learned about his increased fatigue and they are very concerned about heart failure issues. And so they put him on a protocol, and the protocol includes taking your blood pressure every day. Can't do that in a jail. Supposed to use a CPAP machine to keep you from explosively snoring at night.

And the reason why that is -- there's two reasons for

a CPAP. One is to keep your spouse comfortable so she sleeps through the night, not waking her up all the time.

THE COURT: Is she here today?

MR. BOFFERDING: She is.

THE COURT: Raise your hand.

Thank you.

2.1

MR. BOFFERDING: And the second reason -- and I know this because my wife has a CPAP machine as well -- when you have an explosive snore, you're gasping for breath. What happens is you just stop breathing and you wake yourself up because you're not breathing and you snore explosively.

Those episodes are very traumatic on the heart. It's important that you have a CPAP machine that you use every night to keep your heart from going out when something like that happens.

Now, when you're in a jail -- he has a CPAP machine in his jail, but I know personally you have to clean those things. There's long tubes, there's a face mask that goes into the machine. Those tubes have to be cleaned out with scalding hot water regularly. You cannot do that in the jail. It actually is worse when it's not cleaned out because bacteria builds up and you can get really sick.

He has four separate medications that he needs to take. According to Mr. Hause, he is not receiving all of them. I have been getting mixed answers from the marshals and the

```
I only know what my client tells me, and what he tells me
 1
    jail.
 2
    is he's not getting all his medications regularly. There's four
    different ones. He's getting one regularly, but the other
 3
 4
    three --
 5
              THE COURT: Did he tell you that he refused
    medication?
 6
 7
              MR. BOFFERDING: The reason for that, Your Honor,
 8
    is --
 9
              THE COURT: Did he tell you that?
10
              MR. BOFFERDING: He has. No, he did. That's what
11
    Columbia County told me.
12
              Now, I've had that happen before. When you're in
13
    medical at Columbia County, my understanding is that they put
14
    you in essentially isolation. You're isolated there with no
    other human contact. It's very debilitating. It's not the
15
    cleanest place in the world. And when one of my clients, once
16
    again --
17
18
              THE COURT:
                          The question was quite simple. Did he
    refuse to take his medications?
19
20
              MR. BOFFERDING: He asked to be --
21
              THE COURT: Yes or no.
22
              MR. BOFFERDING: I would say no because he asked to be
23
    moved to general population and they took that as a refusal.
24
    I've had them tell me before on other clients when they said I
```

can't stay in isolation, get me in general population. But he

25

wasn't saying I'm not taking my meds. He's saying I don't want to be in isolation. So it's a yes and no.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BOFFERDING: The other protocols that he's been placed on is having a no-salt diet, keep regular medical appointments to check his pacemaker and his heart. The last appointment that he had scheduled he missed because he was incarcerated. One was two days after he was arrested and then another one was just a few days ago, last Friday, I believe. Those need to be rescheduled. And I believe he has appointments set every two months.

The next thing I want to talk about is past criminal conduct.

He does have a criminal history. Absolutely, he does. But every single one of those acts are very dated. They're very old. There's nothing even recent to indicate that Mr. Hause is now the person that the government believes he is and was. He's just not.

His last court sentence -- I believe it was for violation of supervision -- was August 6th of 2003. That's 15 years ago, Your Honor.

According to the superseding indictment -- and once again, I haven't seen any discovery -- the last overt or predicate act involved in the racketeering count of count 1 is December of 2012. Your Honor, that's over six years ago. That

was before the time of his heart problems starting, which means that his life has changed dramatically and the way -- what Mr. Hause has focused on has changed significantly.

Right now he has deep family and community ties with Aumsville. His focus is now on his wife of 36 years, on his kids and his many grandkids. His grandchildren are his life. His day, he wakes up, he goes and visits the grandkids before they go to school. Then he goes to the bus stop. This is every day. The kids come home, get off the bus, he welcomes them. He takes — he shoots basketball hoops with one of his grandkids. He's really, really involved in the family, and that is his focus.

I believe that Mr. Hause is a very good release candidate for home confinement and location monitoring. I don't believe he's now the man that the government believes he is, and his past many years of lawful conduct is the best indicator that he'll comply with conditions of supervision.

Now, three other things I want to talk about that basically directly rebut, in my mind, what the government is going to claim.

They're going to claim that somehow Mr. Hause was involved in the kidnapping and murder of the victim in the indictment. There's no evidence to indicate that at all. There is a phone call allegedly from defendant No. 1 to Mr. Hause prior to when all the alleged mayhem started, but there's no

evidence at all to indicate that defendant 1 was asking Mr. Hause for permission to order a murder.

There's no information at all to suggest that

Mr. Hause ordered a murder. In fact, Mr. Hause's wife was

present in the room with the conversation and heard the other

side, heard Mr. Hause. At no time did he order a hit. It was

just all moral support, comforting a good friend whose wife had

just been traumatized. That's perfectly natural. It's not

unordinary. And for the government to make a leap that that

just must mean Mr. Hause ordered a hit, essentially, is

misplaced. It's a leap of evidence. It's not sufficiently

relevant to whether or not he is a threat to anybody.

The government is also going to make mention of some money. About \$35,000 was placed in my client's bank account back in 2017. The only indication that I could assume is the government's alleging that that's monies derived from illicit activity. But it wasn't.

According to the family, 2017, Mr. Hause's mother passed way. He received an inheritance of a little over \$35,000.

The government is then going to indicate that a few days later there was a 5,000, \$10,000 withdrawal. I'm not sure what they think that means. What it means from our side is -- I talked to his kids. No, that was money from the inheritance that Mr. Hause gave his children. He didn't keep it all for

himself, he passed it down, because that's what his main focus is, is his family.

The last thing the government is going to talk about, I believe, is there's a list of duties of the national president of the motorcycle club. And those duties all look to be at a macro level. It's organizational. It's not day-to-day activities. It's not ordering a murder. It's not ordering a kidnapping. It's just organization. It's -- it's not the micro level which would be somebody else's responsibility but not Mr. Hause's.

For those reasons, I'm requesting release with home confinement, with GPS monitoring.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BOFFERDING: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. MYGRANT: Thank you, Your Honor.

This is obviously a very serious matter, from the government's perspective. These charges were not brought lightly. This is the product of a multiyear investigation.

THE COURT: Well, let's get on the record what he is charged with and what the others are charged with.

MR. MYGRANT: Certainly, Your Honor.

He is personally charged with a racketeering conspiracy. That racketeering conspiracy is also to be alleged with his other co-defendants in this case.

There are five other co-defendants that have appeared before magistrate judges in this district. They have also appeared on charges relating to murder and kidnapping and VICAR, which is violence in the commission of aid of racketeering related charges. Mr. Hause is not charged in those substantive counts. He is charged --

THE COURT: He's not charged with murder, torture?

MR. MYGRANT: Or kidnapping.

THE COURT: Kidnapping.

MR. MYGRANT: Not charged for those specific substantive offenses.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MYGRANT: However, he is charged to be involved in a conspiracy with those co-defendants who did carry out that kidnapping, that murder of Mr. Huggins, as well as significant witness intimidation and assaults, some at the hands of Mr. Hause and some at his orders.

So we have commenced a lengthy investigation that has gone over two years. This doesn't -- this charge is not brought just by our office. It's in consultation with the organized crime gang section. And Mr. Hause ultimately is charged in that single count.

Procedurally, each of these other defendants have appeared at magistrate court. They have been detained as flight risks and as danger to the community given the homicide that

occurred, the witness intimidation that's occurred in this case, and the assaults that have occurred in this case.

This is a presumption case, Your Honor, as I know you're well aware, and I believe that that's where we should start from.

It is presumed, given the 20-year sentence that he is facing on this racketeering conspiracy, that he be detained.

And we do believe that he is a danger to the community and that he is also a flight risk, and I'll go into those reasons in more detail momentarily.

In terms of this racketeering conspiracy, Your Honor, it's clear, it's un-rebutted that the defendant is the national president of this violent outlaw motorcycle gang. Period. End of story.

The Gypsy Jokers control Oregon from an outlaw motorcycle gang perspective. They don't have a lot of competition in Oregon because of a long history of them enforcing their club through violence and threats and witness intimidation and murder.

This defendant is the lead defendant of this criminal organization. They have multiple clubhouses here in the state. They have an international presence in Germany, in Australia, and the state of Washington and elsewhere.

This investigation really began with the murder of Mr. Huggins. I've outlined in the sentencing memo the history

of that case. I won't repeat all of it, but there are a few important details that bear repeating.

Mr. Huggins was a member of the organization in which Mr. Hause was in control of. Mr. Huggins was removed from the organization after intravenous drug use and stealing club funds. Mr. Huggins then engaged in criminal activity of his own involving one of the co-defendants in this case when he committed a robbery of one of the victims.

THE COURT: Robbery or burglary?

MR. MYGRANT: It was a robbery and a burglary and a kidnapping at Mr. Dencklau's residence in which his then girlfriend was tied up and this robbery, burglary, kidnapping did occur.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MYGRANT: Undisputed.

What happened from there is what really triggered this investigation. Mr. Dencklau returned to his residence in Woodburn where the first -- after he talked to his girlfriend at the time, the very first call he made was to Mr. Hause.

Mr. Hause acknowledges that, that he had that phone call with him. Mr. Hause subsequently went to Mr. Dencklau's house and they had a consultation.

I can tell the Court that for the next 25 days it was a manhunt for Mr. Huggins. This organization had a hit out for Bobby Huggins, and the principal shot caller of his organization

is the defendant here in the courtroom today.

Mr. Huggins lasted 25 days. He ultimately was abducted by about a half dozen of these guys, all members or associates, support club members of the Gypsy Jokers. They kidnapped him, they brutally beat him, they tortured him, and they dumped his body after several hours of torture in southwest Washington and left him to die.

His tattoos that he had showing allegiance to the organization were x'd out. This was a gruesome brutal murder that occurred at the hands of this criminal enterprise.

The defendant is in fact the de facto CEO of this organization, of this enterprise. And that "CEO" really could stand for chief enforcement officer.

If the Court has the ability to look at Government Exhibit 1, which I've offered to the Court, one of his -- he has several different duties as the wizard of the Gypsy Jokers.

"The bull stops here," Government Exhibit 1 says. He is to enforce USA business. He is to work closely with all --

THE COURT: "USA" standing for what?

MR. MYGRANT: United States of America for the Gypsy Jokers in which he is the national president.

THE COURT: To enforce -- again?

MR. MYGRANT: Enforce United States business with presidents, assuming there's presidents in Norway, there's presidents in Australia, and the international component to this

criminal enterprise.

2.1

He also --

THE COURT: Well, I'm not following the connect.

MR. MYGRANT: He's an enforcer. USA is Gypsy Jokers' acronym for this particular enterprise, this national enterprise in the United States of America.

THE COURT: All right. I see what -- okay. I follow you. Go ahead.

MR. MYGRANT: He also -- one of the rules, No. 8, is to put all the fingers in a glove to make a fist. That, again, goes inline with the theory of the government's case, that Mr. Hause is the top dog. He is the big guy. He is the chief enforcement officer of this criminal enterprise.

It's a 1 percent motorcycle club, Your Honor. They take pride in that 1 percent. That means that they -- 99 percent of motorcycle riders in the world are law-abiding citizens. They are the 1 percent in which they take pride in which they are not law-abiding citizens. They don't follow the rules, and yet what's being asked and proposed here is to outline a list of rules that he's to comply with of conditions of release.

His identity for the last 30 years has been to go against the rules, to be part of that 1 percent. This is a highly organized top-down model of an enterprise.

I can tell the Court that his criminal history, I

don't dispute that he has nothing in the criminal history worksheet since 2005. From 1980s until 2005, I think it's worth talking through those and I would like the opportunity to do that.

In 1998 he had a misdemeanor assault in which he was convicted in Stayton. Your Honor, there were three probation violations that occurred from that assault 4. That probation was ultimately revoked and a full year of custody was imposed following his unsuccessful supervision.

In 1999, he was convicted of a felony assault 4 involving domestic violence of his wife. There were multiple violations resulting in the revocation --

THE COURT: Of his present wife?

MR. MYGRANT: That's my understanding, Your Honor.

And that was four months of Department of Corrections after it was -- he ultimately -- he got a probation case up front. That was revoked after multiple violations. He was -- a four-month sentence, DOC sentence was imposed with 12 months of post-prison supervision.

While on post-prison supervision he was violated for -- and sanctioned for associating with Gypsy Jokers, using methamphetamine, and having contact with his wife.

Again, somebody that's asking to be released proved to be unsupervisable when he was on probation in Marion County and on post-prison supervision.

2.1

He was convicted again in 2002 for felon in possession of a firearm, Your Honor. He received a probationary sentence again. That too was revoked.

He served 13 months in the Department of Corrections.

And then I think this is important. While on post-prison supervision, he was sanctioned multiple times. In January of '04, he was sanctioned for associating with Gypsy Jokers. In December of '04 he was sanctioned for use of methamphetamine and being untruthful to his supervising parole officer.

In January '05, sanction for meth use. May of '05, sanction for meth use. September of '05, sanction for meth use. October of '05, sanction for meth use.

This is somebody who is again asking to be released into the community to follow rules, yet his history shows that he does not conform with conditions of probation and supervision.

I understand that his criminal conviction -- he doesn't have criminal convictions after 2005. However, as part of the government's investigation, Your Honor, we have outlined in the indictment, superseding indictment, with excruciating detail several acts of criminal behavior that continued right after the defendant was released from supervision and continuing on, and I would like an opportunity to briefly go over those overt acts.

In September of 2008, Mr. Hause is alleged in the

superseding indictment to have punched out an Oregon Veteran Motorcycle Association member and knocked his teeth out.

Mr. Hause then warned that member he had 30 days to shut down that support club to the Gypsy Jokers. Following that assault, the allegation is that Mr. Hause threatened to kill any OVMA member who told of the assault.

THE COURT: Which stands for what?

MR. MYGRANT: Oregon Veterans Motorcycle Association, which is a support club to the Gypsy Jokers.

MS. STATON: It's not a support club.

MR. MYGRANT: I stand corrected. Oregon Veterans Motorcycle Association.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MYGRANT: Your Honor, in January of '09, multiple members of the Gypsy Jokers assaulted another Oregon Veteran Motorcycle Association member for failing to follow Mr. Hause's order.

In July of '09, Mr. Hause and his co-defendant in this case, Mr. Dencklau, instructed OVMA members to remove a patch.

Dencklau then assaulted that member.

September of '12, evidence showed in this investigation that Mr. Hause ordered a Gypsy Joker to assault another Gypsy Joker club member. In September of 2012 Hause assaulted a Gypsy Joker member, knocked him unconscious with a sap.

September of 2012, Mr. Hause punched and stomped a Gypsy Joker member in the head knocking out several teeth. In September of 2012, Mr. Hause threatened to kill another Gypsy Joker club member if he didn't surrender his motorcycle.

There are multiple instances in this superseding indictment in which the defendant's engaged in the distribution of methamphetamine.

This criminal activity did not stop following his supervision, Your Honor. This criminal activity kept on going. The reason that it didn't result in convictions is because they have built fear in the community, that anybody that reports this criminal behavior will pay the consequences. And so Mr. Hause, in his position of authority, has been protected.

And now we want to release -- now the recommendation from defense is to release him back in the community. There are multiple instances in this investigation, Your Honor, in which witnesses have been tampered with or attempted to be tampered with. We have had to take extraordinary steps to try to protect these individuals, including moving multiple people out of state, and we are attending to that almost on a daily basis.

Mr. Hause does have international ties to this enterprise.

THE COURT: Would you repeat that sentence? The beginning of that last sentence.

MR. MYGRANT: Mr. Hause has international ties? Or

going back one before that?

2.1

We are -- there are multiple witnesses that we have had to take proactive steps, meaning the government has had to take proactive steps, to move out of state because of safety concerns.

THE COURT: Talking about currently?

MR. MYGRANT: Currently.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MYGRANT: This -- Mr. Hause does have international ties. This Gypsy Jokers outlaw motorcycle club has ties in Norway, it has ties in Germany, and there are other chapters throughout the United States too.

I refer the Court to Government 4, Government Exhibit 4 and 5 and 6 which show the international nature of this organization. There is a photograph of the Gypsy Jokers Norway chapter. There is a photograph in Exhibit 5 in which Mr. Hause is pictured with multiple members from this international organization.

This is purely to demonstrate the international ties that he and his outlaw motorcycle club have to the world.

Government No. 6 shows the Australian chapter, the clubhouse in Sidney, and its presence and his access to those people.

I also provided to the Court and to defense counsel in Government's Exhibits 2 and 3 two financial statements which show large deposits of \$35,000; in Government Exhibit 3, a

deposit that was made in 2017, as well as some other --1 2 THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity to examine the 3 validity of his claim that this was an inheritance? 4 MR. MYGRANT: I have not, Your Honor. We obtained 5 that information today. THE COURT: I'm sure you will. 6 7 MR. MYGRANT: We will follow through on that. 8 THE COURT: Go ahead. 9 MR. MYGRANT: Your Honor, just about to wrap up here. But in terms of the --10 11 THE COURT: We're in no hurry. 12 MR. MYGRANT: Thank you. 13 In terms of the medical situation, I've personally consulted with the deputy marshals and Deputy Sanchez. It's my 14 understanding that the defendant did refuse medical treatment, 15 that -- for his heart medication, that the Columbia County jail is taking every step to make sure that he is able to take his 17 heart medication, that they are taking the situation seriously, 18 19 and we will do everything we can to ensure that his health is 20 protected. And I believe that the marshal service and the Columbia County jail are taking those important steps. 22 In closing, Your Honor, I just want to hit on a few 23 important points. 24 It's really un-refuted that he is the head of this 25 criminal enterprise. Multiple members of this criminal

enterprise committed a murder, according to the superseding indictment and we intend to prove at trial.

This is a 1 percent outlaw motorcycle club.

THE COURT: For the record, who are you claiming did the torture and murder?

MR. MYGRANT: Well, the indictment alleges that
Mr. Dencklau, Mr. Pribbernow, Mr. Erickson, Mr. Fisher,
Mr. Negrinelli, and others. And Mr. Folkerts is the last one.
And there may be -- there may be more to come, Your Honor.

I can tell the Court that it goes beyond just this murder. There is a pattern here of enforcement, of intimidation, of threats, of assaults, to further the influence of this criminal enterprise.

They take pride in that 1 percent notation of being a -- you know, the 1 percent that doesn't follow the rules, yet the irony is they want to convince the Court that they will follow all the rules that would be imposed if pretrial was to be given.

He does have this past history. It is not a good past history of supervision. It's repeated failures.

It's the government's position that release -- the defense has not overcome the presumption. The presumption of danger and flight risk apply. The defendant has access to funds as is listed in the pretrial services report. He has access to properties that are owned. He has international ties. He is a

flight risk, from the government's perspective, and the defense has not overcome for presumption that he is.

Additionally, we believe he is a danger to the community. He may not be the person that goes out and personally intimidates witnesses or personally assaults witnesses, but he has the ability to influence others who can, and that is a risk that is not worth taking, from our perspective.

When the defendant was interviewed by the ATF and Portland Police Bureau in his post-arrest interview, he indicated that he knew what he signed up for, and he signed up to be part of a criminal enterprise that has engaged in all of this criminal behavior that I have outlined extensively for the Court here this morning.

I would ask the Court to follow the presumption and detain Mr. Hause pending trial.

Thank you.

2.1

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BOFFERDING: May I respond?

THE COURT: Do you wish to add anything?

MR. BOFFERDING: I do, I do.

THE COURT: No, no. Excuse me.

I'm talking to your associate from DC.

Go ahead.

MS. STATON: Thank you, Your Honor.

A couple of things I would add to -- Mr. Mygrant has covered it sufficiently and thoroughly.

But I think Your Honor knows just this basic idea that Mr. Hause, while not charged with these substantive counts, Mr. House is charged with RICO conspiracy, and that means that Mr. Hause, as the national leader of this enterprise, is charged with agreeing that he or someone else would commit two racketeering acts. The racketeering acts alleged in this indictment, as you know, Your Honor, are murder, kidnapping, extortion, robbery, and witness tampering with him at the head.

Documents taken from his home during the takedown,

January 30th, just a couple of weeks ago, lay out his role. He
is the wiz. The bull stops here. This is government's

Exhibit 1. He is to enforce USA, which we know to be through
this investigation, Gypsy Jokers, to enforce USA business with
the presidents.

That's what that phone call was. When he went to Mark Dencklau's house just after he was robbed, a month later, Bobby Huggins was dead.

Your Honor, I know that you've heard a lot of evidence. We are very concerned about the safety of witnesses in this case. Very concerned. There are specific allegations of witness tampering in this indictment. And as Mr. Mygrant pointed out, we have moved several individuals already out of safety concerns and we are very concerned about the

dangerousness of this defendant.

We also know very well that he is a flight risk. That calendar referenced in Government's Exhibits 4 and 5 are from 2015. That's recent, Your Honor. That's a Norway calendar, a Gypsy Joker calendar from Norway that this defendant had at his house two weeks ago, that he has in his possession.

And guess what? His picture is in it. He is in a calendar from Norway -- Norway -- with representatives of the Gypsy Jokers. He's in that photo with representatives from Gypsy Joker Australia, Gypsy Joker Germany, Gypsy Joker -- Gypsy Joker Norway. All of those individuals are in the same photo that he's in, and he's the president.

All of these things taken together, Your Honor, I hope that you'll consider them.

I know that pretrial is not able to consider the nature of the evidence, the strength of the evidence against this client but -- against this defendant, but this Court is, and we hope that you will.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. STATON: Thank you.

THE COURT: Response?

MR. BOFFERDING: Yes, Your Honor. I have four responses to make. First of all has to do with the medication issue.

Mr. Hause told me that there was a time not too long

ago he was at Columbia County. They tried to administer him his gout medication in the evening. He refused. No, I need that pill in the morning. They took that as a flat refusal.

And again, that's not out of the ordinary with Columbia County and how they deal with my clients on medical issues.

The Norway calendar, we assume it's a Norway calendar. It's a calendar. We don't know who made it. We don't know where the pictures were taken. It just shows up on a calendar. It's not evidence that he was anywhere at any particular point in time. It's a photograph that was taken. Somebody took a photograph and they printed up some calendars with it. It doesn't indicate that he was in Norway 2015, 2005, 1987. It doesn't.

The government -- the third thing I want to talk about is, the government is right, burden of proof to show that he's -- clear and convincing evidence that -- of flight risk or a danger, and preponderance of the evidence of a flight risk. We acknowledge that, and we felt the defense has met its burden.

However, one thing that seems to have been lost on the government, they have a burden too. It's called the burden of persuasion. They have to persuade the Court that Mr. Hause is a danger. They haven't done that. They made leaps on innuendos, two people at the same place or two people on the phone at the same time. That doesn't mean anything. That's not evidence.

That's why we're here. They have not persuaded the Court, my argument, that he is at all a danger.

When Counsel suggests there was a phone call and order to a murder because he's the CEO, allegedly, she says that's what the telephone call was about. Well, she doesn't know that. She's guessing.

Well, we know from my conversation with his wife Kelly that's not what that conversation was about, a hit. Oh, my gosh, your wife got tied up, that's horrible, that's what it was about. It was a comfort issue. It wasn't about setting up a hit.

May I just have a moment?

You know, the last thing I want to talk about is issues of threats in general with people who are in custody.

We get cases from time to time where a person is in custody and they're charged with witness tampering. I've had that happen.

I actually went to trial a year and a half ago on a case exactly like that, and what I learned from that case is that if somebody who's locked up wants somebody outside to be threatened, it's actually really easy to do. You don't have to use a phone, you don't have to write a message to anybody. All you have to do is find somebody that's in jail who's getting out soon, offer them a little bit of commissary, a couple of candy bars, some money when they get out, maybe even drugs when they

get out or when they're in. Pass this message along for me. Okay, you got it.

That's how you do it, there is no evidence, nobody knows, which means that the government's argument that Mr. Hause needs to be locked up to prevent threats against any witnesses rings hollow. There's no connection, there's -- no realistic argument can be made that a person in jail or out of jail cannot make a similar threat.

For that reason, the government has failed its burden of persuasion.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

As I understand, for pretrial services, you're recommending release, but you cannot pass on the danger. Is that correct?

MS. CUBIAS: Your Honor, what the government indicated in stating that we're unable to consider the weight of the evidence, that is true. But we do --

THE COURT: Again, will you please speak up and bring the mic towards you.

MS. CUBIAS: We do think that there are conditions that are enforceable to ensure that there is safety within the community. We do not believe that Mr. Hause is a flight risk.

Does that answer the Judge's question?

THE COURT: And your bottom-line recommendation?

MS. CUBIAS: Release.

THE COURT: Thank you.

In respect to this matter, without revealing an identity of anybody, do you have a witness who will testify that this defendant, not circumstantially, but directly ordered the murder, the torture murder?

MR. MYGRANT: We can represent that we do not have that proffered testimony at this time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You do not?

MR. MYGRANT: That's correct.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Well, without direct evidence, then there -- I have dealt with motorcycle people for the last at least 40 years, including the Outsiders, Gypsy Jokers, you name it.

There's no doubt that the defendant is the head guy, but there also at this point is limited evidence of his ordering the murders and torture murders of this former member of the group.

There's no question that on a conspiracy theory, that he can be held responsible for certain acts of the group if they can be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

There's no question in this case that he has stability in his neighborhood, that he owns a home, he runs a business.

He has medical care issues, which I find have been adequately managed in jail, but that are better managed, you

know -- locality, a local situation. He has a stable marriage.
He is not a flight risk.

He is not -- at this point, I agree with Counsel. If someone wants -- is in jail and they've been in charge of an organization or if they want to solicit somebody else to do it, it can be done easily. It can be done in jail just as well as it can be done out of jail. It's -- we know well -- well know that such matters have been ordered and completed from an in-custody situation.

Without the potential of direct proof of his order, it's not enough to retain him at this juncture.

This is also tempered by the fact that this is complex litigation. I've been advised by one of your associates,

Counsel, that you're talking about asking for a year from now for trial. Is that correct?

MR. MYGRANT: I believe that's accurate, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So to have him sitting in a county jail for a year with things percolating is another reason that he has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt to be in custody at this juncture.

There's no doubt in my mind that he is capable of these acts, and that they may or may not be proven in trial.

I suggest however -- I'm well aware of the people that you have lined up to testify who are unknown and their locations are unknown, and we hope that that will continue to be the case.

2.1

But if -- this case should be ripe for trial. It shouldn't be a year. They're available now. We have everything known about this case now. You should be prepared to go to trial within six months, and I'm going to hold you to that.

The defendant will be released, but under home detention. That means complete home detention. No association via phone, land phone, cell phone, any form of communication with any member of the Gypsy Jokers, immediate resignation of any association in that group, no association with any member of that group. None.

The minute I get word that you're communicating or attempting to communicate with your fellow members, which are extensive -- your phone will be monitored. You'll be under -- you'll have a tracking device to track your -- where you do go.

But what I'm saying to you is you're going to be -- instead of jail, you'll be at home at Aumsville.

That's where your home is?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And no place else except to go to the doctor. No other exceptions without direct approval of me, not just the approval of your probation officer or your supervised release officer or your pretrial officer.

Now, I want to have -- are you through?

MS. STATON: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are there specific restrictions that the 1 2 government wants in view of this ruling? 3 MR. MYGRANT: We're concerned about third-party contact as well with other members of this organization. So 4 5 whether his wife communicates on his behalf to others -- we would consider that to be a violation. 6 7 THE COURT: There will be no communication by any 8 member of the family. You're totally isolated from the members, 9 former members of the Gypsy Jokers in any form. Anything further? 10 11 MS. STATON: Just for clarification, Your Honor. 12 When you say members, former members, does that also 13 include Gypsy Joker associates, people affiliated who may not be members, and, additionally, support club members, which are 14 15 outlined in the superseding indictment? THE COURT: That includes anybody with any attachment 16 or association with the organization. 17 18 MS. STATON: Thank you. THE COURT: In other words, we want -- the moment that 19 20 we hear of anything that violates any aspect of this, you'll be 21 hauled in and confined until trial. 22 Anything further? 23 MR. MYGRANT: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 24 THE COURT: For the defense? 25 MR. BOFFERDING: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

```
MS. CUBIAS: Your Honor, you spoke of having the
 1
 2
    defendant's phone monitored. We are able to monitor internet
    activity, but we cannot monitor conversations.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Do the best you can.
 5
               MS. CUBIAS: Okay.
 6
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 7
               Court is in recess.
 8
 9
          (The proceedings concluded at 12:24 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the record, taken by stenographic means, of the proceedings in the above-titled cause. A transcript without an original signature, conformed signature, or digitally signed signature is not certified.

DATED this 28th day of February, 2019.

// Ryan White

RYAN WHITE
Registered Merit Reporter
Certified Realtime Reporter
Expires 9/30/2019
Washington CCR No. 3220
Expires 10/25/2019
Oregon CSR No. 10-0419
Expires 12/31/2020